



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,698	11/20/2003	Joseph A. Pruitt	812495/220 (10.83)	9310
64553	7590	11/18/2008		
Nixon Peabody LLP (F5 PATENTS)			EXAMINER	
Gunnar G. Leinberg			VETTER, DANIEL	
1100 Clinton Square				
Rochester, NY 14604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/18/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/717,698	<b>Applicant(s)</b> PRUITT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> DANIEL P. VETTER	<b>Art Unit</b> 3628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DANIEL P. VETTER. (3) Peter Prommer.

(2) John Hayes. (4) Brad Taub.

Date of Interview: 05 November 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 44.

Identification of prior art discussed: dePinto, Force.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Proposed amendments to the claims were discussed. The proposed amendments such as inclusion of a computerized client and broadly providing automatic means for performing the steps would not render the claims patentable. More detailed amendments are necessary to overcome the cited art, and a new search would be required. Additionally, the presented claims remain proper subject matter for class 705.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/JOHN W HAYES/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3628